## Monitoring Data Record

| Project Title: Martin Site (B-3045 WM) Stream Name: Little Paters Creek  | COE Action ID: 200632527285<br>DWQ Number: 06-869  |
|--|--|
| City County and other Lagation Information   | on: The mitigation area is located approx. 0.5   |
| mile and of the intersection of Crossy Poor  | d and NC 704 in Stokes County.   |
| •  | •  |
|  | 2007, Streambank Reforestation Completed 2-28-08   |
| Monitoring Year: (4) of 5  | 0 4: ~:4 HHIC:4 02010102   |
| Ecoregion:   | 8 digit HUC unit 03010103  |
| Dagger Classification  |  |
| Rosgen Classification:   | ural: Rural Watershed Size:  |
|  |  |
|  | ung and J. Lancaster Date: 8/16/11   |
| Applicant Information:   | Section was and 1  |
|  | Environmental  |
| Address: 1425 Rock Quarry F  |  |
|  | Email address: <u>mlgreen@ncdot.gov</u>  |
| Consultant Information:  |  |
| Name:  |  |
| Address:   |  |
|  | Email address:   |
| Project Status:  |  |
|  |  |
| year for the 5-year monitoring period problem areas (missing, stressed, proposed/required remedial action); measurements of channel stability/mor the monitoring reports to the USACE, sixty days after completing the monito first 5 years, the permittee shall condocumented. The bankfull events must that the required bankfull events do USACE, in consultation with the resonot required. It is suggested that all ba required monitoring period. The permit each year (summer and winter) for the required monitoring period. | rm the following components of Level I monitoring each Reference photos; plant survival (i.e. identify specific damaged or dead plantings), estimated causes, and visual inspection of channel stability. Physical phology will not be required. The permittee shall submit Raleigh Regulatory Field Office Project Manager, within oring. If less than two bankfull events occur during the ontinue monitoring until the second bankfull event is st occur during separate monitoring years. In the even not occur during the five-year monitoring period, the surce agencies, may determine that further monitoring is nkfull occurrences be monitored and reported through the lattee shall perform and submit photo documentation twice the 5-year monitoring period, and for any subsequently |
| Section 1. <u>PHOTO REFERENCE SITES</u> (Monitoring at all levels must complete this section   | on)  |
|  | ns at this site: 4 photo points were set with 2 photos   |
| •  | point #4 which only has 1 photo looking upstream.  |
| Dates reference photos have been taken   |  |
| 3/9/10, 7/12/10, 1/31/11, 8/16/11  |  |
| <u> </u>   |  |
| Individual from whom additional photos   | s can be obtained (name, address, phone):  |

| Other Information relative to site photo reference: A site map with photo point locations is attached with the same of the sam |
|--|
| this report.  If required to complete Level 3 monitoring only stop here; otherwise, complete section 2.  |
| Section 2. PLANT SURVIVAL Attach plan sheet indicating reference photos.   |
| Identify specific problem areas (missing, stressed, damaged or dead plantings):  |
|  |
|  |
| Estimated causes, and proposed/required remedial action:   |
|  |
|  |
| ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: Planted vegetation is surviving. Live staking and bareroot planting was completed on 2/28/08. The site was live staked with Black Willow and Silky Dogwood. The site was also planted   |
| with bareroot seedlings which consisted of Black Walnut, Cherrybark Oak, Northern Red Oak, River Birch, Willo Oak, Sycamore, and Green Ash. Other vegetation noted on site consisted of <i>Juncus</i> sp., alder, horse-nettle   |
| sedge, goldenrod, cattails, clover, and various grasses.   |
|  |

If required to complete Level 1 and Level 2 monitoring <u>only</u> stop here; otherwise, complete section 3.

## Section 3. CHANNEL STABILITY

**Visual Inspection:** The entire stream project as well as each in-stream structure and bank stabilization/revetment structure must be evaluated and problems addressed.

Report on the visual inspection of channel stability. <u>Physical measurements of channel stability/morphology will not be required.</u> Include a discussion of any deviations from as-built and an evaluation of the significance of these deviations and whether they are indicative of a stabilizing or destabilizing situation.

| Little Peters Creek stream restoration project is stable for the Year 4 Summer evaluation. The beave | er dam which  |
|--|---------------|
| was noted downstream of restoration project within NCDOT's conservation easement has been remo       |               |
| was contacted and the beavers have been removed from the site. NCDOT will continue to monitor        | r this stream |
| restoration project.   |               |
|  |               |
|  |               |

| Date          | Station | Station | Station | Station | Station |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Inspected     | Number  | Number  | Number  | Number  | Number  |
| Structure     |         |         |         |         |         |
| Type          |         |         |         |         |         |
| Is water      |         |         |         |         |         |
| piping        |         |         |         |         |         |
| through or    |         |         |         |         |         |
| around        |         |         |         |         |         |
| structure?    |         |         |         |         |         |
| Head cut or   |         |         |         |         |         |
| down cut      |         |         |         |         |         |
| present?      |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bank or scour |         |         |         |         |         |
| erosion       |         |         |         |         |         |
| present?      |         |         |         |         |         |
| Other         |         |         |         |         |         |
| problems      |         |         |         |         |         |
| noted?        |         |         |         |         |         |

Section 4. <u>DEBIT LEDGER</u>

| Site name                             | Site TIP              | HUC     | River<br>Basin | Division | County | Mitigation<br>Type | Notes          | As Built<br>Quantity | Available |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|----------|--------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Martin Site<br>(Little<br>Peters Crk) | B-<br>3045/B-<br>2639 | 3010103 | Roanoke        | 9        | Stokes |                    |                |                      |           |
|                                       |                       |         |                |          |        |                    | Not to be used |                      |           |
|                                       |                       |         |                |          |        |                    | until          |                      |           |
|                                       |                       |         |                |          |        | Stream             | agencies       |                      |           |
|                                       |                       |         |                |          |        | Enhancement        | accept.        | 720                  | 720       |

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Debit Ledger information up to date as of August 21, 2011

## Little Peters Creek



Photo Point #1 (Upstream)



Photo Point #1 (Downstream)



Photo Point #2 (Upstream)



Photo Point #2 (Downstream)



Photo Point #3 (Upstream)



Photo Point #3 (Downstream)

## Little Peters Creek



Photo Point # 4 (Upstream)

Year 4 Summer – August 2011

